MEMORANDUM

To: Campus Planning Committee
From: Liz Thorstenson, Campus Planning
Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM)

Subject: Record of the November 18, 2022 Campus Planning Committee Meeting

Attending: Anne Brown, Deborah Butler, Liska Chan, Bob Choquette, Emily Eng, Keith Frazee, Cooper Foushee, Shawn Kahl, Ken Kato (chair), Moira Kiltie, Carrie McCurdy, Elise O’Brien, Savannah Olsen, Eric Owens, Kelly Pembleton, Janet Rose, Madison Sanders, Avi Shugar, Cathy Soutar, Philip Speranza, Laurie Woodward

CPC Staff: Liz Thorstenson (Campus Planning)

Guests: Monica Hasek (CPFM), Jamie Moffitt (VPFA), Aaron Olsen (Campus Planning), Tom Shepard (CPFM), Cami Thompson (University Advancement)

CPC Agenda

1. Campus Planning Committee – New Member Welcome

Background: Jamie Moffitt (VPFA) welcomed new members and thanked continuing members for their service and work on the committee.

CPC staff provided an overview of the 2021-2022 committee's activities, highlighted anticipated activities for the 2022-2023 academic year, and reviewed typical CPC meeting procedures.

Action: No formal action was requested.
2. Ten-Year Capital Plan – Review

**Background:** The purpose of this agenda item was to review and comment on the Ten-Year Capital Plan. The goal of this review process was to facilitate coordination between academic and physical campus planning endeavors.

This plan outlines current and anticipated capital construction projects for the next ten years. This includes projects that have been submitted by university leadership to the State of Oregon for the Capital Construction Budget Request and may be considered for future requests. The Campus Planning Committee was asked to review the plan and comment about relevant plan principles and patterns, in particular, Principle 3: Densities (refer to pgs. 47 -52 of the *Campus Plan* for more information about densities).

Tom Shepard (CPFM) shared the project background information, history, definitions, and categories. The Ten-Year Capital Plan is an annual presentation given to the Board of Trustees every December, specifying projects likely to happen in the next 10 years.

Capital plan goals, space needs analysis and escalation tracking, completed projects, projects underway, potential projects, and anticipated projects were also shared. Additional project information will be posted on the CPFM website after the Board of Trustees meeting.

**Discussion:**

The following is a summary of questions and comments from committee members:

- Is the Robinson / Miller Theater complex included in the Heritage project?
- Does the Romania project include the previously planned project goals and stakeholders?
- Members support the presentation.
- Suggestions regarding the Capital Plan format:
  - Include in the presentation what is important to the Capital Plan and what is new to UO that drives investment decisions, E.g., student life, research, and academic studies.
  - Contextualize the Capital Plan with peer institution information, E.g., to better understand comparatively.
- Is Athletics, and the new Portland campus, a part of the University of Oregon campus?
- How can the committee help support Campus Planning in future analysis of the UO Portland campus?
- How the *Campus Plan* applies to the UO Portland campus will probably be for future discussion. The Portland campus is UO owned property, however off the
Eugene campus; future development projects would follow the Track ‘C’ design process in the *Campus Plan*.

- A member stated that the CPC process for Track ‘C’ typically includes providing project input and recommendations.
- Internal programming and remodels are underway at the UO Portland campus; no exterior changes are being planned yet, however City of Portland will require some site improvements as part of the renovation projects.
- Why is the siting of the Acoustic Lab at the Port of Portland?

In response to questions and comments from committee members and guests, Shepard provided the following clarifications:

- Robinson Theater is included in the seismic improvements for the Heritage Project; Miller Theater (completed 2009) does not need seismic improvements.
- The university is still in discussion with the same project group for the Romania project; however, this project is not underway yet. Contact Mike Harwood (CPFM) for more information.
- The UO Portland campus is in the beginning stages and UO is currently managing competing priorities for what is necessary to open that campus.
- Siting of the acoustic lab was through the Build Back Better Grant. Location options were explored around the neighborhood, however, through the grant partnership with Build Back Better and Port of Portland, the land was secured in that location.

**General Discussion**

Following the end of questions and comments regarding the meeting’s agenda items from committee members, a member initiated discussion regarding future planning processes and the role of the Campus Planning Committee. *(Please refer to the CPC Charges and Responsibilities located here: [https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/campus-planning-committee-cpc](https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/campus-planning-committee-cpc).* This included questions and discussion about revisiting the patterns and further addressing social justice and ecology in the *Campus Plan*. The discussion ended with agreement that these ideas could return to the committee as an agenda item for a future meeting.

**Action:** No formal action was requested.