

October 7, 2020

MEMORANDUM

| То:      | Campus Planning Committee  |
|----------|--|
| From:    | Liz Thorstenson, Campus Planning<br>Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM) |
| Subject: | Campus Planning Committee Meeting, October 13, 2020                                  |

The next meeting of the 2019-20 Campus Planning Committee (CPC) will be held on Tuesday, October 13, 2020 from 10am - 12pm in Zoom.

All meetings are open to the public.

## **REMOTE MEETING**

This will be a remote meeting in real-time using the Zoom app on your own device. There is also an option to join on a browser for those who do not have the Zoom app. This meeting will be recorded for record keeping purposes. To join the meeting, please click on the following link:

https://uoregon.zoom.us/j/95322335711?pwd=R2lyNoIHZUZ3SHIFajdOdW1XZU5SQTo9

Meeting ID: 953 2233 5711 Passcode: 053183

#### Agenda:

#### 1. Campus Planning Committee – Chair Election

- <u>Background:</u> The Committee on Committees has asked that each campus committee select its own chair. Typically the chair is either a member who will be serving his/her second term or a new member who has previously served on the committee. The chair is elected annually. Please take time to consider this issue prior to the meeting.
- <u>Action:</u> The committee is being asked to elect a committee member to serve as chair of the 2020-2021 committee.

CAMPUS PLANNING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

1276 University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1276 http://cpfm.uoregon.edu

An equal-opportunity, affirmative-action institution committed to cultural diversity and compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act

Campus Planning Committee October 7, 2020 Page 2

## 2. Fine Arts Studios Site Security Project – Schematic Design Review

<u>Background:</u> The purpose of this agenda item is to review the proposed schematic design for the Fine Art Studios Site Security Project and determine whether the design is consistent with *Campus Plan* principles and patterns.

The purpose of this project is to improve site security at the College of Design Fine Art Studios located north of Franklin Boulevard. The Fine Art Studios are a complex of buildings which support sculpture, ceramics, metalsmithing, and jewelry studio space for students. The buildings are connected by covered walkways and the spaces between the buildings are generally open lawn or courtyard spaces. For a number of years there have been consistent issues with transient activity and theft which negatively impacts the student experience. To more fully secure the site the project will install decorative metal or chain-link fencing as shown on the attached Schematic Site Plan. Person gates will be installed with controlled access for university students, faculty, and staff. Vehicle gates will be provided to provide access for service and deliveries.

Please refer to the attached background materials for more information. In addition, please review relevant *Campus Plan* principles and patterns, in particular:

- Principle 2: Open-space Framework
  - Principle Refinements
    - Landscape
      - Campus Safety/Environmental Design
- Principle 11: Patterns (see below)
- Principle 12: Design Area Special Conditions: North Campus Design Area

# • Key Applicable Patterns

- Accessible Green
- Building Complex
- Materials and Operations
- Public Outdoor Room
- Public Gradient
- Building Character and Campus Context
- Family of Entrances
- Shielded Parking and Service Areas
- Universal Access

- <u>Action:</u> The committee is being asked to determine if the proposed schematic design presented for the **Fine Art Studios Site Security Project** is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and to formulate a recommendation to the president. Typically, the committee takes one of the following four actions:
  - 1. Recommends approval
  - 2. Recommends approval subject to a series of conditions
  - 3. Does not recommend approval
  - 4. Delays action until a future meeting

# 3. Campus Plan Amendment: North of Franklin Boulevard – Continuation of Preliminary Proposal Review

<u>Background:</u> The purpose of this agenda item is to continue reviewing the preliminary proposal amending the *Campus Plan* to incorporate the university's land north of Franklin Boulevard. This incorporation serves to guide essential future campus development and connect people to the Willamette River based on *Campus Plan* principles. The amendment will be consistent with the new Conditional Use Permit (2018), which was designed to accommodate the university's long-term potential needs.

The *Campus Plan* guides all campus development by establishing the principles and patterns to achieve a shared vision. This shared vision ensures physical changes to campus will lead the University of Oregon toward a unified and successful campus design supporting its mission of teaching, discovery, and service. Currently much of the university's land north of Franklin Boulevard is not incorporated into the Campus Plan because it was previously reserved for the purposes of a research park (the subject of a City of Eugene Conditional Use Permit that expired in 2012) and some of the land has only been recently acquired by the university.

This amendment will establish a framework of designated open spaces and major campus pathways, establish building density guidelines, and identify development opportunities and constraints. This is the fourth in a series of CPC meetings that will discuss this Campus Plan amendment.

This Campus Plan amendment is part of a multi-year, multi-step planning process led by the Office of Campus Planning for university land north of Franklin Boulevard. Previous steps have included the Framework Vision Project (2014-16), the North Campus Conditional Use Permit Project (2016-18), and the Recreation Field Location Options Study (2018-19), all of which have included extensive public outreach and CPC input. On November 28, 2017, the CPC agreed with ten members in favor and one opposed to recommend to the president the North Campus Conditional Use Permit be approved as a land use application to submit to the City of Eugene, with the understanding that a Campus Plan amendment for this area of campus would come back to the CPC for further discussion. The City of Eugene approved the North Campus Conditional Use Permit on October 21, 2018.

The CPC held four previous meetings for the Campus Plan Amendment North of Franklin Boulevard on February 18, 2020, March 6, 2020, May 29, 2020, and October 2, 2020. Meeting notes from the October 2, 2020 meeting will be sent out soon.

The following is a summary of relevant questions and comments regarding the Openspace updates from the May 29, 2020 meeting:

New proposed "Natural Area" and Open-space updates

- The idea of a fifth type of open space and the idea of Natural Areas is supported. It can work well in conjunction with an area set aside for playing fields.
- This is a great response to a prior CPC request.
- The description of the Natural Area is supported and the wording is good. This leaves open what area is considered a Natural Area. Expanding the identified Natural Area open space is supported, relying upon consultation from experts to better define the appropriate size. The university should capitalize and take advantage of such an area for research, education, outreach, and public enjoyment. This can be seen in places such as the University of California at Santa Barbara (UCSB) Cheadle Center for Biodiversity and Ecological Restoration: <u>https://www.ccber.ucsb.edu/</u>
- Support the proposed Natural Area language; could see this space as a programmed element.
- How many acres are designated in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) (and this draft map) as Natural Area or open space (red lines, and including 200' setback) and how much is either future playing fields or buildings? Could these details be provided for both north of and south of the railroad tracks?
- Be sure to consider adjacent land uses when thinking about future uses. Adjacent to North Campus, there are big changes with the EWEB site and existing large natural areas north of the river.

- Remember that rivers move around where the river is now has changed throughout history. The area has long been disturbed, for example, with the history of gravel mining.
- There are other examples of substantially disturbed lands converted to natural areas, for example, Delta Ponds.
- Regarding the suggested word change of "should" to an absolute "will," this can be problematic. Be careful when locking in words as you could prevent others from doing what you really want in the future, even though your intention was to try and lock it in. It can go both ways, you can experience situations where absolutes do not result in the original expectations and lead to a worse situation because it leaves no room for discussion and consideration of options.

The following is a summary of comments from guests:

- It would be good to have Natural Areas in central campus as well.
- Currently the grassy area north of the tracks is largely natural. Why are we only considering the riverfront?
- Good wording on the Natural Area.
- Regarding the request to consult with experts, Campus Planning invited UO ecologists regarding what could be done to improve ecology as part of prior efforts. For example, some suggested restoring the grassy area to oak savannah, enhancing the campus as a green campus. This grassy area (currently outside the open space boundary) should be considered.
- Consider a suggested change of the word "should" to "will", or "will prioritize," related to native plants and adjacent development.
- "Will be prioritized" still allows exceptions but makes a stronger stand. This also allows flexibility for other options (add examples if helpful). Allow the possibility to add specimens.
- Native plants in general should be prioritized, whether or not they are endangered or threatened.

In response to questions and comments from committee members, Olsen provided the following clarifications:

• Regarding the word change from "should" to "will", the focus on "should" was intentional for plantings. The goal is to leave open the ability to respond to planting needs when considering campus as an arboretum, for urban agriculture, and for educational needs.

- Additional project history will be posted on the project website: <u>https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/campus-plan-amendment</u>
- CPC may need to break into a sub-committee to resolve some of the exact wording for the specific amendment language that is presented next fall.

Please refer to the attached background materials for more information. For additional information, please refer to prior CPC meeting records regarding this agenda item, located here:

https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/sites/cpfm2.uoregon.edu/files/record o2 18 20.pdf https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/sites/cpfm2.uoregon.edu/files/record o3 o6 20.pdf https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/sites/cpfm2.uoregon.edu/files/record o5 29 20 0.pdf

Previous CPC Meetings 1-3 presentation materials are available at: <u>https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/campus-plan-amendment</u>

Previous CPC Open House materials are available at:

https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/sites/cpfm2.uoregon.edu/files/campus\_plan\_amendment\_-\_open\_house.pdf

Also, please review the following Campus Plan principles and patterns:

- Principle 1: Process and Participation
- Principle 2: Open-space Framework
- Principle 3: Densities
- Principle 4: Space Use and Organization
- Principle 5: Replacement of Displaced Uses
- Principle 6: Maintenance and Building Service
- Principle 7: Architectural Style and Historic Preservation
- Principle 8: Universal Access
- Principle 9: Transportation
- Principle 10: Sustainable Development
- Principle 11: Patterns
- Principle 12: Design Area Special Conditions

<u>Action:</u> No formal action is requested.

Please contact this office if you have questions.