# BORA

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON Classroom + Faculty Office Building

Campus Planning Committee August 07, 2018

#### SITE SELECTION PROCESS



#### CRITERIA

**SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS:** Does the site accommodate the specific program needs? Is the site within the 7-minute walking circle? Does the site allow for the accessibility required to support heavy activity? Does it have sufficient space to accommodate site features?

SITE CONSIDERATIONS AND COST: Are there site regulations, uses, or existing conditions which would negatively impact the feasibility, cost, or timeline for this project?

**OPEN-SPACE FRAMEWORK:** Does the site comply with the requirements of the Campus Plan's Open-space Framework Principle and Patterns and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**DENSITY:** Will proposed development comply with the Campus Plan's Density Principle and Patterns (e.g., Use Wisely What We Have, Floor Coverage, and Height Limits) (Principle 3) and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**SPACE USE & ORGANIZATION:** Will development on the site make the best use of the space, meet the Campus Plan's Space Use and Organization Principle and Patterns - Principle 4 (e.g. University Shape, Diameter, and Expansion), and meet the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**REPLACEMENT OF DISPLACED USES:** Will development on the site allow the project to comply with the requirements of the Campus Plan's Replacement of Displaced Uses Principle (Principle 5)? Are there appropriate replacement locations for all displaced uses?

ARCHITECTURAL STYLE & HISTORIC PRESERVATION: Will the development meet the intent of the Campus Plan Architectural Style and Historic Preservation Principle and Patterns (Principle 7)? Does the proposed new building's scale and use type fit within the existing and historic building and landscape context?

**DESIGN AREA SPECIAL CONDITIONS:** Does development on the site strengthen the site elements of its Design Area, as identified by the Campus Plan's Design Area Special Conditions Principle (Principle 12) and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

## 9 Sites to 5 Sites

#### POTENTIAL SITES

#### Sites:

- A. Dads' Gates
- B. Onyx Bridge
- C. Columbia
- D. Collier House
- E. PLC Parking Lot
- F. North of Gerlinger Annex
- G. Esslinger
- H. McArthur Court
- I. South University



#### POTENTIAL SITES

#### Sites: A. Dads' Gates

B. Onyx Bridge

C. Columbia

D. Collier House

E. PLC Parking Lot

F. North of Gerlinger Annex

G. Esslinger

H. McArthur Court

I. South University



## 5 Sites to 3 Sites

60,000 GSF target

- 700-750 formal classroom seats
- 130 informal learning seats
- 175-200 offices or workstations









SITE INFORMATION: - 87,800 sf

- Within 7 min walking circle

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: Specific Program Needs: (-) Site Considerations + Cost: (+/-) Open-space Framework: (-) Density: (+) Space Use + Organization: (-) Replacement of Displaced Uses: (+) Architectural Style + Historic Considerations: (+) Design Area Special Conditions: (-)



## KEY CRITERION: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS

While the site is within the 7-minute walking circle (i.e. academic core), it is physically disconnected from campus by 11th Avenue and by the EMX station at Dads' Gates. Crossing these two barriers in order to get to and from a building with 700-750 general classroom seats is the key reason that this site is not recommended for further analysis for the Classroom and Faculty Office Building site.

#### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- + Gateway building, prominent location+ Limited replacement of displaced uses
- + Limited replacement of displaced uses (parking)
- Impact to mature trees on site
- Acoustic impacts from Franklin Blvd. and 11th Ave.



## KEY CRITERION: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS

While the site is within the 7-minute walking circle (i.e. academic core), it is physically disconnected from campus by 11th Avenue and by the EMX station at Dads' Gates. Crossing these two barriers in order to get to and from a building with 700-750 general classroom seats is the key reason that this site is not recommended for further analysis for the Classroom and Faculty Office Building site.

#### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- + Gateway building, prominent location+ Limited replacement of displaced uses
- + Limited replacement of displaced uses (parking)
- Impact to mature trees on site
- Acoustic impacts from Franklin Blvd. and 11th Ave.













SITE INFORMATION:

- 54,200 sf

- Within 7 min walking circle

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: Specific Program Needs: (+/-) Site Considerations + Cost: (+/-) Open-space Framework: (+) Density: (+) Space Use + Organization: (+/-) Replacement of Displaced Uses: (+/-) Architectural Style + Historic Considerations: (+) Design Area Special Conditions: (+/-)



KEY CRITERIA: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS

This site is within the 7-minute walking circle and can accommodate the proposed building size.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

+ Gateway building, prominent location

+ Opportunity to enhance the campus gateway/edge at Kincaid and make it universally accessible











SITE INFORMATION:

- 30,400 sf

- Within 7 min walking circle

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: Specific Program Needs: (+) Site Considerations + Cost: (+/-) Open-space Framework: (+) Density: (+/-) Space Use + Organization: (+) Replacement of Displaced Uses: (+/-) Architectural Style + Historic Considerations: (+) Design Area Special Conditions: (+/-)



#### KEY CRITERIA: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS + HISTORIC PRESERVATION

This is site is at the heart of campus (beneficial for a general use classroom building). However, the existing building (including its site) is a City Landmark and is of primary historic significance.

## ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- The existing historic building would need to be relocated

- Potential negative impacts to significant trees on the site

- The size of the site is limited, particularly in accommodating the footprints of large classrooms while establishing a heart of campus open space

- Service to the site is challenging











#### SITE INFORMATION:

- 54,900 sf
- Within 7 min walking circle

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: Specific Program Needs: (+/-) Site Considerations + Cost: (+/-) Open-space Framework: (+) Density: (+) Space Use + Organization: (+/-) Replacement of Displaced Uses: (+/-) Architectural Style + Historic Considerations: (+/-) Design Area Special Conditions: (+)



KEY CRITERIA: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS + HISTORIC PRESERVATION This site is within the 7-minute walking circle and could accommodate the proposed building size. However, the existing building is of primary historic significance.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS: + Gateway site - at the crest of a hill on University Street + Opportunity to extend and enhance the designated open space framework + Opportunity to extend the feeling of academic campus southwards on University Street.











SITE INFORMATION:

- 30,000 sf

- Outside 7 min walking circle

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: Specific Program needs: (-) Site Considerations + Cost: (+/-) Open-space Framework: (+) Density: (+) Space Use + Organization: (-) Replacement of Displaced Uses: (+/-) Architectural Style + Historic Considerations: (+) Design Area Special Conditions: (+/-)



## KEY CRITERION: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS

This site is outside of the 7-minute walking circle (i.e. academic core), this is the key reason this site is not recommended for further analysis for the Classroom and Faculty Office Building site. The distance from the academic core would make it very challenging for the students and faculty to walk between this building and other academic spaces on campus during the break between classes.

#### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- + Gateway building, prominent location
- The size of the site is limited, particularly in accommodating the footprints of large classrooms
- The Outdoor Program Barn would be displaced and would need to be replaced
- Potential acoustic impact of proximity to Jane Sanders Stadium



## KEY CRITERION: SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS

This site is outside of the 7-minute walking circle (i.e. academic core), this is the key reason this site is not recommended for further analysis for the Classroom and Faculty Office Building site. The distance from the academic core would make it very challenging for the students and faculty to walk between this building and other academic spaces on campus during the break between classes.

#### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- + Gateway building, prominent location
- The size of the site is limited, particularly in accommodating the footprints of large classrooms
- The Outdoor Program Barn would be displaced and would need to be replaced
- Potential acoustic impact of proximity to Jane Sanders Stadium





## Recommended Sites for Further Study

#### POTENTIAL SITES

#### Sites:

- A. Dads' Gates
- B. Onyx Bridge
- C. Columbia
- D. Collier House
- E. PLC Parking Lot
- F. North of Gerlinger Annex
- G. Esslinger
- H. McArthur Court
- I. South University



#### - 54,200 sf

- Within 7 min walking circle
- 175' From campus utility tunnel
- PLC Special Design Area





PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: The site is an adequate size to fit the program, and offers flexibility with building orientation and footprint. It is a prominent location with strong connections to campus core and open space.





- 30,400 SF

- Within 7 min walking circle
- Connected to campus utility tunnel

- Academic Center and Historic Core Special Design Area





PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: The site is an adequate size to fit the program and is located at the heart of campus with strong relationships to associated programs and designated open spaces.





- 54,900 SF
- Within 7 min walking circle
- Connected to campus utility tunnel
- Southeast Campus Special Design Area





PRELIMINARY EVALUATION: The site is an adequate size to fit the program and expands the campus core to the south - supporting the campus vision. New construction on the better supports the building program and future development of the district rather than reuse.





#### POTENTIAL SITES

#### Sites:

- A. Dads' Gates
- B. Onyx Bridge
- C. Columbia
- D. Collier House
- E. PLC Parking Lot
- F. North of Gerlinger Annex
- G. Esslinger
- H. McArthur Court
- I. South University



#### PROGRAM ADJACENCIES





#### Related Adjacencies

by	Primary	Use a	Existing
----	---------	-------	----------

Academic	HUN	Athletic
Libraries	GUC	General Use Class
Museums	oc	Outdoor Classroon
Research Centers &	SU	Student Union
Academic Support	SH	Student Health & Counseling
Auxiliary	R	Residence Hall
Administration		Campus Boundary

#### DESIGNATED OPEN SPACE



#### PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION



#### **BICYCLE CIRCULATION**



#### VEHICULAR CIRCULATION



## Franklin Park UO Pol **Vehicle Circulation - Existing** A Athletics parkin Major public vehicular access Minor vehicular access Campus bounda UO managed parking UO garage parking Foundation owned land with parking

#### CRITERIA

**SPECIFIC PROGRAM NEEDS:** Does the site accommodate the specific program needs? Is the site within the 7-minute walking circle? Does the site allow for the accessibility required to support heavy activity? Does it have sufficient space to accommodate site features?

SITE CONSIDERATIONS AND COST: Are there site regulations, uses, or existing conditions which would negatively impact the feasibility, cost, or timeline for this project?

**OPEN-SPACE FRAMEWORK:** Does the site comply with the requirements of the Campus Plan's Open-space Framework Principle and Patterns and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**DENSITY:** Will proposed development comply with the Campus Plan's Density Principle and Patterns (e.g., Use Wisely What We Have, Floor Coverage, and Height Limits) (Principle 3) and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**SPACE USE & ORGANIZATION:** Will development on the site make the best use of the space, meet the Campus Plan's Space Use and Organization Principle and Patterns - Principle 4 (e.g. University Shape, Diameter, and Expansion), and meet the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

**REPLACEMENT OF DISPLACED USES:** Will development on the site allow the project to comply with the requirements of the Campus Plan's Replacement of Displaced Uses Principle (Principle 5)? Are there appropriate replacement locations for all displaced uses?

ARCHITECTURAL STYLE & HISTORIC PRESERVATION: Will the development meet the intent of the Campus Plan Architectural Style and Historic Preservation Principle and Patterns (Principle 7)? Does the proposed new building's scale and use type fit within the existing and historic building and landscape context?

**DESIGN AREA SPECIAL CONDITIONS:** Does development on the site strengthen the site elements of its Design Area, as identified by the Campus Plan's Design Area Special Conditions Principle (Principle 12) and the intent of the Framework Vision Project?

#### POTENTIAL SITES

#### Sites:

- A. Dads' Gates
- B. Onyx Bridge
- C. Columbia
- D. Collier House
- E. PLC Parking Lot
- F. North of Gerlinger Annex
- G. Esslinger
- H. McArthur Court
- I. South University



# BORA

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON Classroom + Faculty Office Building

Campus Planning Committee August 07, 2018