May 16, 2019

MEMORANDUM

To: Campus Planning Committee

From: Eleni Tsivitzi, Campus Planning

Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM)

Subject: Record of the May 3, 2019 Campus Planning Committee Meeting

Attending: Dean Livelybrooks (CPC chair), George Evans, Emily Fenster, Kassy Fisher,

Hilary Gerdes, Michael Griffel, Michael Harwood, Christine Thompson,

Chuck Triplett

Staff: Eleni Tsivitzi (Campus Planning)

Guests: Justin Bauer, Jane Brubaker, Charles Brucker, Tony Cipolle, Nick Drummond,

Josh Kashinsky, Bill Madden, Kiersten Muenchinger, Aaron Olsen, Greg Ottoman,

Sterling Rung, Karl Schulz, Debbie Williamson Smith,

CPC Agenda:

1. Matthew Knight Arena Enhancement Project - Update

Background: Karl Schulz (Inici Group) presented the progress of the project to date. The project is currently in Design Development and the goal is to start construction in September 2019. The addition will be 14,000sf total divided into three floors and will provide offices for the coaches of women's volleyball and men's and women's basketball. On the exterior, the new addition will replicate the look and detailing of the existing building. The main entrance to the addition will be close to the existing main entry of the Matthew Knight Arena in what was a landscape and plaza area. The design team will be attempting to replace the displaced planting areas elsewhere on the site. The existing service area and loading dock will not be impacted.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

• A large number of people access the arena from the south. If high-volume pedestrian traffic is not accommodated with a sufficiently wide sidewalk, the landscape with suffer.

CAMPUS PLANNING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

1276 University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1276 http://cpfm.uoregon.edu

In response to questions from committee members and guests, Schulz provided the following clarifications:

- The crosswalk on Villard is much further north than the entrance to the addition.
- The design team is attempting to balance the width of the sidewalk with the City of Eugene's landscape requirements.

Action: No formal action was requested.

2. Bicycle Management Program - Introduction

<u>Background:</u> Emily Eng (Campus Planning) described the bicycle management program and how it demonstrates compliance with City of Eugene code. The bicycle management program needs to be updated now because it has not been updated since it was created in 2003, some language is slightly ambiguous and could benefit from clarification, there is the opportunity to simplify some processes, and there is the opportunity to adjust the program so that it can respond better to changes in conditions and needs. The proposed amendments are focused on bicycle parking requirements specific to residence halls and are based on data that has been gathered over time from a number of different counts and surveys.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

• Even if amendments to the Bicycle Management Plan do not require future CPC action, the committee would appreciate and update on proposed changes.

In response to questions from committee members and guests, Eng provided the following clarifications:

- There is no concrete proposal as yet for the ratio of bike parking spaces to residence hall occupants. While the overall number of required parking spaces for the residence halls will decrease, the secure bike parking requirement will either stay the same or increase. The proportion of required covered and open parking spaces will decrease.
- The Hamilton and Walton Residence Halls Transformation Project will accommodate 1,800 beds. This would require the provision of 900 bike parking spaces under the current requirements. We have known for some time that the requirement to provide one bike parking space per two beds is higher than is needed based on anecdotal information, bike counts and the annual commuter survey. Now, in addition, bike share has been operating on campus for some time and the City of Eugene is updating their code requirements, so it seems like an opportune time to amend the UO's Bicycle Management Program.
- Less than 10% of students who live on campus use their bikes to get to and from their classes.
- Currently, the Bicycle Management Program requires that the UO provides one bike

- parking space per five FTE students (three-term average). However, we provide almost double that because the demonstrated demand requires that.
- Bike parking requirements for new buildings are not set by considering the building in isolation. The entire region is studied and a need for the region is determined.
- It is possible that if more secure bike parking were provided at residence halls, there would be higher bicycle usage rates. On-campus studies have shown that new residence halls that have better secure bike parking facilities have higher bike ownership rates. Also, students have stated a need for more and better secure bike parking facilities at residence halls.

Action: No formal action was requested.

3. City of Eugene 20x21EUG Mural Project - 942 Olive Street Building - Mural Proposal

<u>Background:</u> Justin Bauer (20x21EUG Mural Project) and Debbie Williamson Smith (JSMA and City of Eugene Communications Director for 20x21EUG Mural Project) presented general information on the 20x21EUG Mural Project as well as the specific proposal for the mural on the front facade of the 942 Olive Street Building. They described details of the graffiti protection that will be provided and on the commitment to maintain these murals.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

- In general CPC members were supportive of the 20x21EUG Mural Project.
- If the UO has limited rights over branding, there may be concerns about the location of this mural on the front of the building as that might covey a sense of identity.
- A guest from the Product Design department noted that her department is the main building user. The goals of that department are contemporary innovation and development and she stated that feedback from others within Product Design is that this proposed mural does not reflect the desired building character. However, the department would be delighted to have a wall in the 20x21 EUG Mural Project. She also mentioned that there are many talented artists within the College of Design.
- Have other affected entities, including Advancement/Communications, CPFM, building users, and the Arts Committee had a chance to review and comment on the proposal?
- A member noted that it would be good to have the reviews/comments in writing from the above entities before the CPC makes a recommendation to the president.

In response to questions from committee members and guests, Bauer and Smith provided the following clarifications:

• There are, in general, fairly short timelines for the artists and therefore there must be an element of trust in the artists.

Action: The CPC agreed with eight in favor and one abstention to delay action until a future

meeting when the following entities have had an opportunity to review the project proposal:

- Advancement/Communications
- CPFM (regarding operation and maintenance)
- Building users
- Arts Committee

4. Campus Plan Amendment - Hamilton and Walton Residence Halls Transformation Project - Initial Discussion

<u>Background:</u> CPC staff introduced this agenda item, reviewed the need for the *Campus Plan* amendment and described initial ideas for open space framework amendments to the *Campus Plan* related to the Hamilton and Walton Residence Halls Transformation Project (as presented). The CPC will be reviewing the proposed amendment a number of times before action will be requested.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

- The following comments and questions refer to the proposed new designated openspace south of the Phase One building:
 - In defining the eastern edge of the proposed new designated open-space, carefully consider whether or not to include the service area and access that will exist in that general region.
 - Does this open space need to be designated?
 - Without a designated open-space to accommodate the primary pedestrian pathway, future additions to the building could encroach towards the south and the experience on the ground plane would feel very constricted.
 - This open space would provide a good view of Hayward Field.
- The CPC chair expressed support for the expansion of the Agate Street Axis.
- The Promenade could simply terminate in the Matthew Knight Arena plaza.
- In assessing the potential future building footprint to the north of the Humpy Lumpy replacement, consider opening up the corner on Agate and 13th for open space.

In response to questions from committee members and guests, Tsivitzi provided the following clarifications:

• A strict 1:1 replacement of the Humpy Lumpy designated open-space is not required. The requirement is to replace the approximate size and function of the existing designated open-space.

Action: No formal action was requested.