

DRAFT Proposed Amendments to Campus Plan Principle 1: Process and Participation
Office of Campus Planning
October 2026

Statement of Purpose

Amendments to the Campus Plan (the Plan) Principle 1: Process and Participation are necessary to ensure the Plan remains an effective guide for campus development. Principle 1 outlines a structured planning process, based on broad participation. The tenets of a participatory process will remain unchanged; however, the university's current project delivery methods, internal workflows, and best practices have evolved requiring updates to the Plan. Amendments to Principle 1 ensure the Plan remains relevant and establishes procedures to best engage campus stakeholders and deliver construction projects.

Amending Campus Plan Principle 1 will:

- Align with how UO currently plans, manages, and delivers capital projects.
- Reflect current project delivery, collaborative engagement, and evolving campus needs.
- Redefine the concept of the "User Group" into a structured series of groups with more clearly defined roles: an executive team, a management team, a project team, and targeted focus groups. This structure better represents the range of stakeholders involved in current project delivery and supports more effective, timely decision-making.
- Reduce confusion and improve efficiency for construction project delivery.
- Ensure the Plan continues to reflect the university's values and evolving operational realities.

The amendment to Principle 1 will preserve several foundational elements that are the framework of the Campus Plan:

- Commitment to Participation - The concept of broad campus involvement remains unchanged. Stakeholders will continue to have meaningful opportunities to contribute.
- Preservation of the Plan's Values and Intent - The purpose of the Campus Plan as a framework for thoughtful, mission-aligned campus development stays intact. The amendment refines the process, not the underlying philosophy.

- Continued Emphasis on Coordination and Institutional Alignment - Development will still occur within a coordinated, institution-wide context to protect the cohesion and integrity of the campus environment.
- The Plan's Relationship to Open Space, Mission, and Principles - Core campus planning principles—including open-space protection and appropriate densities, remain intact.
- Retention of the role of the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) – The role of the CPC for review of construction projects, plan amendments, and other planning actions remains intact.

Summary of Proposed Changes:

- Remove step-by-step procedures for capital construction projects.
 - Eliminate defining projects as Track A, B, or C. Currently each project track has very specific steps to follow. The steps are not aligned with current project delivery and go into more detail than necessary to include in the Campus Plan.
 - Continue to establish expectations for CPC review and notification requirements for projects.
 - Establish a simplified path for nonstandard, private projects (formerly Track C).
- Redefine project participants in capital construction projects. Remove the User Group and Project Sponsor description/responsibilities.
 - Add new roles describing responsibilities of an Executive Team, Management Team, Building Committee, and Focus Groups. The new roles align with current project delivery and continue to achieve the Campus Plan for a wide range of participants in projects.
- Eliminate or modify references to elements that are no longer relevant, processes that are not required to be outlined in the Campus Plan, or those that can be changed to better serve the institution:
 - Academic Planning Coordination
 - Inevitably planning decisions need to align with academic planning but the process in which this occurs doesn't need to be included in the Campus Plan.
 - Biennial Capacity Plan (BCP)
 - Redefine the study of campus capacity to be an internal Campus Planning process. The results of the study can be shared with the CPC as informational but action by the committee is not required. The Campus Plan already establishes density requirements. If future

changes to density are required, based on the results of the BCP, committee review is required.

- Remove Capital Construction Budget Request description
 - A Step-by-step description of the process is not required in the Campus Plan.
- Appeal process
 - Redefine the appeal process as a written comment submission process. The current process alludes to a formal process the amendment will simplify and continue to achieve the intent of appealing committee decisions.
- Campus Planning Committee, removal of requirements for:
 - CPC to select design team
 - CPC Chair to recommend User Group composition