November 30, 2018

MEMORANDUM

To: Campus Planning Committee

From: Eleni Tsivitzi, Campus Planning

Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM)

Subject: Record of the November 27, 2018 Campus Planning Committee Meeting

Attending: Dean Livelybrooks (CPC chair), Liska Chan, Mark Donofrio, George Evans,

Michael Harwood, Kevin Reed, Steve Robinson, Amy Salmore, Cathy Soutar,

Christine Thompson, Chuck Triplett

Staff: Eleni Tsivitzi (Campus Planning)

Guests: Molly Blancett, Carin Carlson, Dylan Darling, Kevin Farthing, Emily Fenster,

Christa Graf, Josh Kashinsky, Bill Madden, David Mason, Kelly McIver,

Gene Mowery, Aaron Olsen, Matt Roberts, Sarah Stoeckl

CPC Agenda:

1. Collier House - Site Selection

Background: CPC staff introduced this agenda item and described how this topic has been discussed in previous meetings and at three campus and community open houses. The Collier House site has been selected as the location for the Classroom and Faculty Office Building. The recently completed Relocation Feasibility Study determined that it is physically feasible to move the Collier House. Subsequently a site selection study was launched to determine the best site for the Collier House relocation. The committee previously reviewed the process and criteria for the site selection, confirmed the top four of fifteen potential sites for the Collier House relocation, and then narrowed the number of primary sites under consideration to three. At this meeting, the CPC will review additional analysis on the remaining sites and make a recommendation to the president on a preferred relocation site. The design and layout of the house on the preferred site will come back to the CPC for review at a later date.

Carin Carlson (Hennebery Eddy Architects) recapped the previous presentation, presented the final analysis of the three primary relocation sites (as detailed in the slide presentation)

CAMPUS PLANNING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

1276 University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1276 http://cpfm.uoregon.edu

and recommended that the best site for the relocation of the Collier House is Gerlinger Field Green.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

- There are occasionally football and baseball campus that displace Ducktrails camps on the Gerlinger Green over the summer.
- It seems like the permissible building site on Gerlinger Green identified by the Framework Vision Project (FVP) could accommodate a larger academic building. Perhaps placing the Collier House on this site is not the best use of this land which is within the academic core of campus.
- Gerlinger Green already has an active use on it while the Alder Street site has no current active uses.
- The placement of the Collier House on the Gerlinger Green does make appropriate use of the FVP proposed future development site, particularly given the significance of the adjacent Gerlinger Hall.
- A Campus Plan amendment would be required to adjust the boundary of the existing Gerlinger Green designated open space.
- Displaced uses in the Collier House and on the Gerlinger Green would need to be replaced.
- An absentee member emailed to express his preference for the Gerlinger Green site.
- The Alder Street site would have the greatest acoustic impact from passing traffic. This could prove problematic for the Collier House if the School of Music and Dance were to continue to occupy the building.
- A guest from the School of Music and Dance noted that the Southwest Campus Green site would also pose significant acoustic impacts from the nearby rehearsal space. This would cause scheduling difficulties. From their perspective, the Gerlinger Green site would be preferred.
- If the Collier House were placed on the Gerlinger Green site, the project has the opportunity to improve the landscaped edge along University Street in particular. However, the landscape all around the perimeter of the proposed location of the house on Gerlinger Green could benefit from enhancement.

<u>Action:</u> The committee agreed with nine members in favor and one opposed to recommend to the president that the Gerlinger Field Green be selected as the relocation site for the Collier House with the understanding that:

- A Campus Plan amendment is needed to redefine the boundaries of the Gerlinger Green designated open space
- Displaced uses in the Collier House and on the Gerlinger Field Green will be replaced per the Campus Plan Principle: Replacement of Displaced Uses

2. Climate Action Plan 2.0 - Update

<u>Background:</u> CPC staff introduced this agenda item and Steve Mital (Office of Sustainability) presented the outcomes of Climate Action Plan (CAP) 1.0 as well as the goals of CAP 2.0.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

• A member said that it is very positive that the university is trying to fill the gaps that exist in LEED certification.

In response to questions from committee members or guests, Mital provided the following clarifications:

- The UO has plateaued emissions now. The goal for CAP 2.0 is to maintain that, but if we want to be at zero emissions in 2050, we will need to begin using a fuel that does not have an emissions component. There will need to be a study on fuel switching options and how to pay for that.
- Options other than LEED as a sustainability certification model have been considered.
 LEED seems to be the best system for project accountability and demonstrating
 the value of the sustainable design strategies implemented on building projects.
 LEED requirements have increased substantially over time, so it has become more
 challenging to attain the LEED Gold goal over time.

Action: No formal action was requested.

2. UO Bike Share - Update

Background: CPC staff introduced this agenda item and Josh Kashinsky (Parking and Transportation) shared usage data from the first six months of operation of bike share in Eugene. He also provided an update on bike share parking at the EMU. EMU Bike share parking demand during the day is frequently two or three times the capacity of the existing bike share racks. He proposed replacing two of the existing UO bike racks at the northeast corner of the EMU with bike share racks to provide necessary additional capacity and encourage users to park bikes in an appropriate manner.

<u>Discussion:</u> The following is a compilation of questions and comments from the committee members and guests:

• The proposed plan appears to be a good one to accommodate the usage patterns. It encourages additional bike usage, while not deterring existing bike users.

Action: No formal action was requested.

Please contact this office if you have questions.