



November 9, 2022

MEMORANDUM

To: Patrick Phillips, Interim University President
Dan Tichenor, University Senate President

From: Dean Livelybrooks, Chair 2021-2022 Campus Planning Committee

Subject: 2021-2022 Campus Planning Committee Annual Report

On behalf of the Campus Planning Committee, I am sending you a copy of the 2021-2022 Campus Planning Committee's Annual Report. In this past year, the Campus Planning Committee has accomplished a significant amount of work, as described in the attached report.

I would be happy to arrange a time to make a short presentation to the University Senate about the Campus Planning Committee's actions and upcoming projects. Please contact Liz Thorstenson in Campus Planning and Facilities Management (6-5024) to make arrangements.

Please feel free to contact me or Liz if you have additional questions.

cc: David de Lorenzo, Special Collections and University Archives
Jamie Moffitt, Finance and Administration
Betina Lynn, University Senate
Michael Harwood, Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM)
Emily Eng, Campus Planning, CPFM
Liz Thorstenson, Campus Planning, CPFM

Campus Planning Committee Annual Report 2021-2022

Summary of Meetings and Activities

Since Fall 2021, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) has held 10 meetings and forwarded 7 recommendations to Administration. The committee reviewed numerous campus development projects including the Phil and Penny Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact Phase 2 schematic design, site selection for the Urban Farm Expansion Project, and several additional smaller capital improvement projects, such as the EMU Amphitheater Confederated Tribes Flag Display Interpretive Sign schematic design, and the Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) and the Huestis Hall 1% for Art Proposals siting. In 2021-22, the CPC also guided and held public hearings for two *Campus Plan* Amendments, including: 1.) related to the Framework Vision Project and Southeast Campus Design Area, and 2.) related to the area southeast of the Franklin Circle Design Area. Additionally, it reviewed and provided a recommendation for the 2021-2023 Biennial Capacity Plan and reviewed and provided feedback on the 10-year Capital Plan, the Willamette River Natural Area Landscape Management Plan, the Landscape Laboratory Research Project site, and the Willamette Valley Prairie Restoration Research Project site.

CPC members also served on project user groups for development projects that were initiated this past year. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about a wide range of complex campus planning and development issues.

2021-22 Members:

Name	Department	E-mail Address	Ext.	Term
Anne Brown	Faculty/OA Rep	abrown33@uoregon.edu	2112	2021-24
Deborah Butler	VP for Finance & Admin Rep	deborahb@uoregon.edu	3003	N/A
Sonya Calendar	Student Rep	scalenda@uoregon.edu		2020-22
Liska Chan	COD Dean Rep	chan@uoregon.edu	2899	N/A
Manica Collier	Student Rep	nicac@uoregon.edu		2021-22
Claressa Davis	Student Rep	claressa@uoregon.edu		2020-22
Stephen Duff	Faculty/OA Rep	sduff@uoregon.edu	3671	2021-24
Emily Eng	Campus Planning Rep	eeng@uoregon.edu	5606	N/A
Michael Griffel	VP for SSEM Rep	mgriffel@uoregon.edu	2667	N/A
Michael Harwood	AVP for CPFM Rep	maharwoo@uoregon.edu	8267	N/A
Shawn Kahl	VP for Advancement Rep	skahl@uoregon.edu	6-8581	N/A
Ken Kato	Faculty/OA Rep	kkato@uoregon.edu	5810	2020-23
Moira Kiltie	VP for KCASI Rep	kiltie@uoregon.edu	3188	N/A
Diana Libuda	Faculty/OA Rep	dlibuda@uoregon.edu	5092	2019-22
Dean Livelybrooks	Chair, Faculty/OA Rep	dlivelyb@uoregon.edu	1274	2021-22
Cass Moseley	Provost Rep	cmoseley@uoregon.edu	4545	N/A
Savannah Olsen	Faculty/OA Rep	savannah@uoregon.edu	3753	2021-24
Eric Owens	VPRI Rep	eowens@uoregon.edu	1474	N/A
Kelly Pembleton	VP for Equity & Inclusion Rep	kpemplet@uoregon.edu	5372	N/A
Stephanie Prentiss	Classified Staff Rep	Prentiss@uoregon.edu	1119	2021-24
Kevin Reed	VP & General Counsel Rep	ksreed@uoregon.edu	3082	N/A
Janet Rose	Faculty/OA Rep	jrose@uoregon.edu	4195	2021-24
Madison Sanders	Student Rep	asuosenate12@uoregon.edu		2021-22
Cathy Soutar	CAS Dean Rep	csoutar@uoregon.edu	5567	N/A
Philip Speranza	Faculty/OA Rep	speranza@uoregon.edu	8756	2020-23
Kyle Trefny	Student Rep	ktrefny@uoregon.edu		2021-22
Laurie Woodward	VP for Student Life Rep	lauriew@uoregon.edu	6-6063	N/A

Staff:

Liz Thorstenson CPFM lizt@uoregon.edu

Summary of Actions Taken:

11/09/21	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	Campus Planning Committee – New Member Welcome No formal action was requested. N/A New members started their 2021-2022 CPC term.
	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	EMU Amphitheater Confederated Tribes Flag Display Interpretive Sign – Schematic Design Review With 14 in favor, the committee unanimously agreed that the EMU Amphitheater Confederated Tribes Flag Display Interpretive Sign Schematic Design is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved with the following condition: 1. Carefully consider relocating concrete ballasts and voter box to reduce clutter and pay respect to the interpretive sign. In addition, the committee strongly recommends that attention is paid to maintaining the concrete wall. This is in recognition that the concrete wall face is an interconnected feature of the interpretive sign; therefore, it is important to maintain the concrete wall in order to protect how the sign itself is perceived. The condition of approval will be carefully considered as planning proceeds.
11/30/21	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	Ten-Year Capital Plan – Review No formal action was requested. N/A The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.
	Agenda: Action:	2021-2023 Biennial Capacity Plan – Review and Action With 13 in favor, the committee unanimously agreed that the 2021-2023 Biennial Capacity Plan findings are consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> , recommended to the president that it be approved, and unanimously agreed to the following:

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sufficient land exists, in aggregate, to accommodate approved construction projects,2. Sites meeting the requirements of the Plan are identified for approved (first-biennium) projects, or, revisions are identified if they are needed, and3. In the aggregate, sufficient siting opportunities exist for the remaining identified (next biennium) capital projects. <p>These findings are submitted with the understanding that the committee should further consider all identified needs for Campus Plan amendments as described below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In the Student Housing Design Area, there is a deficit in available building footprint and gsf because the DeNorval Unthank Jr. Residence Hall (completed in 2021) was approved by the Campus Planning Committee with the understanding that Hamilton Hall would be demolished after completion of phase II of the Housing Transformation Project (Walton Hall replacement). It is assumed that Hamilton Hall will be demolished, after which there will no longer be a deficit.• In the Southeast Campus Design Area, there is currently no available building footprint or gsf. Additional density needs to be assessed to accommodate potential future needs for academic, recreational, and athletic uses.• Many of the design areas do not have the capacity for building opportunities that were identified in the Framework Vision Project (FVP); therefore, additional density should be comprehensively assessed for these areas. For example:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Multiple sub-areas in the East Campus Design Area do not have enough capacity for potential future institutional and student housing needs, as identified in the Framework Vision Project. Therefore, additional density should be comprehensively assessed for the entire design area.○ The Northeast Campus Design Area does not have enough capacity to replace aging lower-scale buildings with inefficient footprints, such as Onyx Bridge and Columbia Hall, with more
--	--

		<p>space-efficient well-placed buildings to meet potential future needs and enhance the open space framework.</p> <p>Also, the committee’s comments are made with the understanding that it will have an opportunity to review proposed project sites and designs at a future date to ensure that all Campus Plan principles and patterns are met.</p> <p>Approved: Moffitt Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>
02/15/22	<p>Agenda: Willamette River Natural Area Landscape Management Plan – Review and Comment</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>	
	<p>Agenda: Landscape Laboratory Research Project – Review and Comment</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>	
	<p>Agenda: Willamette Valley Prairie Restoration Research Project – Review and Comment</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>	
04/29/22	<p>Agenda: CPC Updates</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A Status: The committee’s comments will be considered.</p>	
	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the Framework Vision Project and Southeast Campus Design Area – Overview and Discussion</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A Status: This project will return to the committee as planning proceeds.</p>	

	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the area southeast of the Franklin Circle Design Area – Overview and Discussion</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A</p> <p>Status: This project will return to the committee as planning proceeds.</p>
05/27/22	<p>Agenda: Phil and Penny Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact Phase 2 – Schematic Design Review</p> <p>Action: A motion was made and seconded to delay action until a further meeting, however, with 6 in favor, 7 opposed, and 4 abstentions, the committee did not agree to delay action for the Phil and Penny Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact Phase 2 Schematic Design until a further meeting. The meeting ended before further action was taken as the scheduled time had been reached. The schematic design review will continue at the next meeting.</p> <p>Approved: N/A</p> <p>Status: The schematic design review will continue at the next meeting.</p>
06/07/22	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the Framework Vision Project and Southeast Campus Design Area – Public Hearing</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A</p> <p>Status: This project will return to the committee as planning proceeds.</p>
	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the area southeast of the Franklin Circle Design Area – Public Hearing</p> <p>Action: No formal action was requested.</p> <p>Approved: N/A</p> <p>Status: This project will return to the committee as planning proceeds.</p>
	<p>Agenda: Phil and Penny Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact Phase 2 – Continued Schematic Design Review</p> <p>Action: With 16 in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention, the committee agreed that the Phil and Penny Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact Phase 2 Schematic Design is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved with the following conditions:</p>

	<p>Approved: Moffitt Status: The conditions of approval will be carefully considered as planning proceeds.</p>	<p>1. Work with Campus Planning and Facilities Management (CPFM) to refine the site design, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. the south plaza design with site furnishings (E.g., for lighting, bike parking, emergency phones, etc.), b. the western edge College of Design (COD) coordination with the Kiln Shed and Urban Farm, c. the north edge screening of the loading dock location, and d. the eastern roadway (Riverfront Parkway) review of vehicular drop off / pick up. <p>2. Continue working with the College of Design (COD) to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. refine the site design along the western edge, b. address Urban Farm activities affected by construction impacts, c. continue to make efforts to minimize impacts to the Urban Farm, and d. define replacement and siting opportunities for potentially displaced Urban Farm activities in conjunction with the COD Urban Farm process.
<p>06/24/22</p>	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the Framework Vision Project and Southeast Campus Design Area – Final Draft Review and Action Action: With 6 in favor and 3 abstentions, the committee agreed that the Campus Plan Amendment Related to the Framework Vision Project and Southeast Campus Design Area is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved. Approved: Moffitt Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>	
	<p>Agenda: Campus Plan Amendment Related to the area southeast of the Franklin Circle Design Area – Final Draft Review and Action Action: With 8 in favor and 1 abstention, the committee agreed that the Campus Plan Amendment Related to the area southeast of the Franklin Circle Design Area is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved with the following condition:</p>	

	<p>Approved: Moffitt Status:</p>	<p>1. Reassess density for equitability between parking uses and other uses, ensuring the maximum allowed density for non-parking development (academic type or other), is not less than the density allowances for a parking structure (footprint and massing).</p> <p>The condition of approval will be carefully considered as planning proceeds.</p>
<p>08/02/22</p>	<p>Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:</p>	<p>Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) 1% for Art Proposal – Siting</p> <p>No formal action was taken. Action for the Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) 1% for Art Proposal – Siting was delayed until a future meeting.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>This project will return to the committee as planning proceeds.</p>
	<p>Agenda: Action:</p>	<p>Huestis Hall 1% for Art Proposal – Siting</p> <p>With 8 in favor, the committee unanimously agreed that the Huestis Hall 1% for Art Proposal – Siting is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved with the following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Carefully consider the maintenance, durability, and safety of the art piece and of the building, and work with CPM and Safety and Risk Services to address the following elements and areas: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. maintenance of the brick façade and windows, b. how the art piece works with the building roofline and corners, c. durability of the art piece and how it is mounted to the building façade, carefully evaluating the safety of the art piece and supporting grid and minimizing the potential for climbing of the art and/or support grid, and d. minimizing the potential for spider and bird habitat in the art piece. 2. Carefully consider the overall scale and how the art piece emphasizes the main building entrances, including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. consider how to reduce the overall scale and simplifying the design, and b. consider how to emphasize the main building entrances.

	<p>Approved: Moffitt Status: The conditions of approval will be carefully considered as planning proceeds.</p>
	<p>Agenda: CPC Updates and Tour Action: No formal action was requested. Approved: N/A Status: The committee's comments will be considered.</p>
10/04/22	<p>Agenda: Urban Farm Program Expansion – Introduction Action: No formal action was requested. Approved: N/A Status: The committee's comments will be considered as planning proceeds.</p>
11/01/22	<p>Agenda: Campus Planning Committee – Chair Election Action: With 9 in favor, the committee agreed to elect Ken Kato as chair of the 2022-2023 Campus Planning Committee. Approved: N/A Status: Ken Kato will serve as chair for the 2022-2023 year.</p>
	<p>Agenda: Urban Farm Program Expansion – Meeting One Action: Site selection With 12 in favor, the committee unanimously agreed that Site A for the Urban Farm Program Expansion is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved.</p> <p>Proposed User Groups and Campus Plan Requirements With 12 in favor, the committee unanimously agreed that the proposed Urban Farm Program Project User Group and <i>Campus Plan</i> Requirements are consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that they be approved with the following condition: 1.) Work with the CPC chair to select a neighbor representative for the proposed User Group.</p> <p>Approved: Moffitt Status: The condition of approval will be carefully considered as planning proceeds.</p>